SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, APRIL 10, 2013 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S209125 B237645 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 GREGORY (CAROLYN) v. COTT (LORRAINE)

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S208313 B225127 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. SILVA (JESSE)** Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The Respondent's petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending our decisions in *People v. Moffett*, S206771 and *People v. Gutierrez*, S206365 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court. Appellant's petition for review is denied.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S208716 B238265 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 FLORES (ISRAEL) v. WEST COVINA AUTO GROUP

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Iskanian v. CLS Transportation of Los Angeles*, S204032 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S208714 F065182 Fifth Appellate District SMITH III (ROBERT P.) v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District The request for judicial notice is granted. The petition for review is granted. The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District, with directions to vacate its order denying the motion to recall the remittitur and to reconsider its ruling in light of California Rules of Court, rules 8.25(b)(5) [constructive filing doctrine] and 8.264(a)(1) [appellate court must promptly notify parties of its orders].

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S199406 B238138 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 eHARMONY, INC. v. S.C. (LUKO)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, after hold The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second District, Division Eight, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *Apple Inc. v. Superior Court* (2013) 56 Cal.4th 128. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.928(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S199412 B238129 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 TICKETMASTER LLC v. S.C. (LUKO)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight, after hold The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second District, Division Eight, with directions to vacate its decision and reconsider the cause in light of *Apple Inc. v. Superior Court* (2013) 56 Cal.4th 128. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.928(d).)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S207941 B222583 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HARRIS (CHRISTOPHER)

Petition for review denied

S208033 E055773 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SOTO (FRANK)

Petition for review denied

S208037 B236973 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 KEMPTON (KIMBERLY) v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S208049 A131097/A132226 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. PETERS (SHANE AUSTIN)

Petitions for review denied

S208103 D058911 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. TAJA (GERALD

ALBERT)

S208106 B245486 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 ROGERS (ROBERT) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S208162 D060327 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HUYNH

(PHILONG N.)

Petition for review denied

S208163 B236446 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. WELLS

(DITRICK)

Petitions for review denied

S208196 B237756 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 OVIEDO (ELVIRA) v.

WINDSOR TWELVE PROPERTIES LLC

Petition for review denied

S208218 B236611 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. SMITH (KENNETH RAY)

Petition for review denied

S208240 F063398 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. THURMAN

(JAMES R.)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Clancey*, S200158.

S208253 A133351 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. WALKER (KEVIN

SCOTT)

Petition for review denied

S208256 WOODALL (SHAWN JAMES

ALLEN) v. S.C. (GORE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S208291 F062060 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. PALACIOS (MESHACH)

Petition for review denied

S208314 B235399 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 IN RE J.Y.

Petition for review denied

S208318 B243772 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 Y. (J.) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S208343 B231817 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 VENTURA (SYLVIA) v. ABM

INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S208349 B230795 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (RUBEN)

Petition for review denied

S208374 G045999 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. AMBRIZ (ROBERTO BEDOLLA)

Petition for review denied

S208375 H037508 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MAGEE (STEPHEN MARK)

Petition for review denied

S208384 B236047 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **R. (J.) v. P. (D.)**

Petition for review denied

S208405 F063323 Fifth Appellate District IN RE ERIK G.

S208409 C067249 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. ZAPATA (MARCUS)

Petition for review denied

S208410 C071187 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CRAWSHAW, JR., (FRANK ARTHUR)

Petition for review denied

S208415 D059463 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SPENCE (JAMES

EDWARD)

Petition for review denied

S208416 B231835 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. STRINGER, JR.,

(THOMAS)

Petitions for review denied

S208420 B237164 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PLADOTT (ALEX) v. BLANKSTEIN (JOSEF)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S208426 D062955 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 GARCIA (DAVID) v.

WORKERS'

COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD AND WESTERN TILE & CARPET, INC.

Petition for review denied

S208427 B239507 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 COHN (DAVID A.) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S208431 E053861 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CASTANEDA

(CHRISTOPHER DANIEL)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Bryant*, S196365.

S208439 B233515 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. COHN (DAVID AVRIM)

Petition for review denied

S208448 C065636 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. WASHINGTON (JAMES)

Petition for review denied

S208458 A132942 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. BURNS (JEROME)
Petition for review denied

S208467 B230579 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. RICHARD (DANNELL LEE)

Petitions for review denied

S208472 B233440 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (FERNANDO)

Petition for review denied

S208503 G046582 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 REICHERT (ERIC) v. STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY

Petition for review denied

S208506 F064361 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. KOBAYASHI, JR., (JESUS HUMBERTO)

Petition for review denied

S208516 A134757 First Appellate District, Div. 2 WANG (MARY) v. CUNNINGHAM (ARCHIBALD)

S208518 H037865 Sixth Appellate District MOBERG (ERIC) v.

MONTEREY PENINSULA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S208527 B236488 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HENDRICKS

(JESSE V.)

Petition for review denied

S208541 A137025/A137079 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PETERS (SHANE) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S208550 WOODALL (SHAWN) v. S.C.

(GORE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S208551 B237000 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. MITCHELL

(LEONARD)

Petition for review denied

S208555 B236754 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. McCAW

(MICHAEL GARRETT)

Petition for review denied

S208560 C066010 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. ABELLA (FRANK)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Gutierrez*, S206365 and *People v. Moffett*, S206771.

S208574 B232887 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. NAREDO (ALI

DAVID)

S208588 B222561/B228875 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 JOGANI (SHASHIKANT) v. JOGANI (HARESH)

Petition for review denied

S208600 G046395 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. YOUNG (DAVID LAVAR)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Chandler*, S207542.

S208610 B236483 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. TURNER (SEAN THOMAS)

Petition for review denied

S208613 E055507/E055508 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. COX (KENNY WAYNE)

Petition for review denied

S208619 B240333 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 IN RE DAVID M.

Petition for review denied

S208632 B232769 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. COX (THOMAS

RAY)

Petition for review denied

S208640 G045905 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GRUNWALD

(JOSHUA DAVID)

Petition for review denied

S208647 C068750 Third Appellate District GLENN, COUNTY OF v. FOLEY (PATRICK)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S208658 D059561 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. POIZNER

(ROBERT ANDREW)

S208666 B229548 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. ALFORD (MARIO)

Petition for review denied

S208672 E054532 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ROBERTS (TOBIN CHRISTOPHER)

Petition for review denied

S208674 C066177 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BOREL (TIANA NICOLE)

Petition for review denied

S208677 C070016/C070075 Third Appellate District FERNANDEZ (ANGEL JULIAN) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S208689 B234348 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (JUAN

JOSE)

Petition for review denied

S208703 E053797 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 R. (DWIGHT) v. B. (CHRISTY)

Petition for review denied

The requests for judicial notice are denied.

S208709 E057414 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **AMAYA (EDIBERTO) ON H.C.**

Petition for review denied

S208713 F066363 Fifth Appellate District SMITH III (ROBERT P.) v.

S.C. (DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION)

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is granted.

S208718 B232987/B233209 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. QUINTANA (DAVID)

Petition for review denied

S208722 F066454 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. S.C. (GRIFFIN)

Petition for review denied

S208724 A132523 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. THOMAS

(ANTIONE)

Petition for review denied

S208727 A134344 First Appellate District, Div. 4 THOMAS (ANTIONE) ON

H.C.

Petition for review denied

S208740 A137336 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PITTSBURG UNIFIED

SCHOOL DISTRICT v. S.C. (FEDERAL INSURANCE

COMPANY)

Petition for review denied

S208761 B236574 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. OCHOA

(ALFREDO)

Petition for review denied

S208817 E054885 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. AMAYA

(EDIBERTO)

Petition for review denied

S208819 C073076 Third Appellate District WOOLDRIDGE (JAMES

PAUL) ON H.C.

S208821	F058822 Fifth Appellate District	SINCLAIR (RICHARD C.) v. KATAKIS (ANDREW)
Petition for review & publication request(s) denied		
S208835 Petition for re	A134879 First Appellate District, Div. 3 view denied	PEOPLE v. GALINDO (ARMONDO MARTIN)
S208836	H037951 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (MINH
NGOC) Petition for review denied		
S208839 Petition for re	B246403 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 view denied	MATTHEWS (DARYL DEAN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
S208848	D060504 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. PEETE (DARIUS
Petition for review denied ISAIAH)		
S208863	C072578 Third Appellate District	GREENBELT NEIGHBORS v. COUNTY OF YOLO (RESULTS RADIO LLC)
Petition for review denied		
S208864	B239565 Second Appellate District, Div. 2	PEOPLE v. PULLEY (CURTIS)
Petition for review denied		
S208865	G046829 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. v. S.C. (PACIFIC CITY BANK)
Petition for review denied Chin, J., was recused and did not participate.		

S208866 H036410 Sixth Appellate District ALLISON (RONALD) v. HCP, INC.

Petition for review denied

S208868 B238597 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 ASPHALT PROFESSIONALS, INC. v. D AND S HOMES, INC.

Petition for review denied

S208870 B238329 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. GUTIERREZ (JONATHAN)

Petition for review denied

S208872 B236180 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 RICKLEY (REBECCA A.) v. GOODFRIEND (MARVIN)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S208876 B236302 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 SANFORD (JASON STEVEN), CONSERVATORSHIP OF

Petition for review denied

S208877 B234530 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. FUENTES (BERNARDO)

Petition for review denied

S208883 G045837 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MILLER (TRAVIS

JOHN)
Petition for review denied

S208887 B235841 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE A.C.

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which this juvenile defendant might be entitled after this court decides *Luis M. v. Superior Court*, S207314.

S208908 GOODS (GREGORY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S208910 B236539 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. SIZEMORE (THOMAS EBER)

Petition for review denied

S208913 A130229 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. INIGUEZ (FRANCISCO)

Petition for review denied

S208917 G046234 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 SAMPSON (FLOYD A.) v. RICHARDSON GROUP, INC.

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S208927 DAVID (ANTHONY P.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of certiorari denied

S208928 B238304 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 GRAY (DEBORAH) v. CHIU (JOHN)

Petition for review denied

S208936 E054012 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. VILLALOBOS (ALEJANDRO HERNANDEZ)

Petition for review denied

S208942 C064743 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BRIGGS (BRYANT KEITH)

Petition for review denied

S208943 B240049 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 BOOLOON, INC. v. GOOGLE, INC.

S208945 E053882 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 SANTOS (SHELLEY M.) v. HAWKINS (RICHARD S.)

Petition for review denied

S208948 B240207 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE V. MURRAY (LATRICE STARVEL)

Petition for review denied

S208949 B236497 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BRODIN (ERIK)

Petition for review denied

S208950 H037700 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (CARLOS

JAVIER)

Petition for review denied

S208953 C068080 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. THAO (LUE

SENG)

Petition for review denied

S208965 H038054 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. RUIZ (CARLOS

MOLINA)

Petition for review denied

S208966 E054832 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (JOHN

RICHARD)

Petition for review denied

S208971 A131940 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BOLDT (BRETT

ANDREW)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. McCullough*, S192513.

S208974 D061057 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. TAEOTUI (LINITONE PULEALI)

S208980 C069630 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. McCLAIN

(DEZMAIGHNE YHARSON)

Petition for review denied

S208981 B238994 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. REPKA (ROBERT

ANDREW)

Petition for review denied

S208983 F063860 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. REY (REYNALDO)

Petition for review denied

S208984 D061875 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE A.B.

Petition for review denied

S208986 F060623 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. DEWS

(DARSHAE)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. McCullough*, S192513.

S208987 D060814 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 KAO (CHUNG) v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT

OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION

Petition for review denied

S208994 F061487 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. FORBS

(DEROLLY)

Petition for review denied

S209006 F063597 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MARSHALL

(CHAD)

PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ S209011 G046418 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 (JOSEPH CORONADO) Petition for review denied G045870 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MICEK (BRYAN S209013 **CARLETON**) Petition for review denied S209016 B237500 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. DiMARCO (FRANK) Petition for review denied S209019 A137841 First Appellate District, Div. 1 NAWABI (IDRIS) ON H.C. Petition for review denied S209022 F066403 Fifth Appellate District INMAN (RONALD J.) ON H.C. Petition for review denied S209045 B231738 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. VARNADO (TROY) Petition for review denied S209046 C065555 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. HINOJOSA (PAUL **ALEXANDER**) Petition for review denied S209054 B246778 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 GIBSON (CLARENCE) ON H.C. Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. LOZANO

(ARGELIO)

A130107 First Appellate District, Div. 3

S209057

S209058 B233566 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. ORMONDE (SCOTT TOSTE)

Petition for review denied

S209059 B236997 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION v. POWERS

(WILLIAM)

Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., was recused and did not participate.

S209062 A135856 First Appellate District, Div. 4 MACK, SR., (RONALD A.) v. CITY OF OAKLAND

Petition for review denied

S209063 B246813 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 GLASER WEIL FINK

JACOBS HOWARD AVCHEN

& SHAPIRO LLP v. S.C. (WHITNEY)

Petition for review denied

S209066 F063678 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. TAMPLIN (JACQUELINE RENEE)

Petition for review denied

S209070 B235812 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 IN RE ANTHONY S.

Petition for review denied

S209071 B237362 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. SAHAKIAN

(GARNIK)

Petition for review denied

S209074 D057356 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SOSA (DAVID)

S209077 D060466 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. FIGUEROA (JOSE)

Petition for review denied

S209081 B239967 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. PEDRAZA (JONATHAN)

Petition for review denied

S209083 B238461 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. WORTHY (MARK ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S209092 B237976 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. RAMOS (MARCOS ALLAN)

Petition for review denied

S209095 D059934 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GALINDO (YSMAEL)

Petition for review denied

S209096 D060698 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 LANGE (ALEX) v. HILTS (MIGNON)

Petition for review denied

S209098 C067885 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. PAGE (TYRONE)

Petition for review denied

S209102 B236863 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. NIBLETT

(DWIGHT)

Petition for review denied

S209104 C069083 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CRAWFORD (RONNIE DEMONE)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. McCullough*, S192513.

S209107 G045762 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. WHITNEY (JAMION LAMARR)

Petition for review denied

S209109 D054342 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. JUDGE (ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S209110 E054640 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ROYAL, JR., (FREDDIE LAMONT)

Petition for review denied

S209118 SALDANA (CARLOS

ARMANDO) v. COURT OF

APPEAL, SIXTH

APPELLATE DISTRICT

(DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S209120 B233296 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. PEREZ (ARTHUR)

Petition for review denied

S209124 B235679 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. FERNANDEZ

(ARTURO SCOTT)

Petition for review denied

S209126 B237686 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. LEWIS (WILLIAM JOSEPH)

Petition for review denied

S209129 D060876 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. IVERSON (CHRISTOPHER DAVID)

S209131 B237995 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. OLIVERO (ANGEL MARIO)

Petition for review denied

S209136 A134543 First Appellate District, Div. 1 SAN MATEO UNION HIGH

SCHOOL DISTRICT v.
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Petition for review denied

Werdegar, J., was recused and did not participate.

S209337 B247121 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 JONES (JASON EARL) v. S.C. (STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S209339 B247193 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 GONZALEZ (ELMER) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S209355 G048068 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 EASTER (KENNY) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

Petitioners' application, filed on March 19, 2013, to file an unredacted version of the petition for review under seal is granted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.550(d), 8.46(e).) In ordering the sealing, this court makes the findings required by California Rules of Court, rule 2.550(d) and 8.46(e). The clerk of this court is directed to file the unredacted version of the petition for review and the confidential application under seal.

The petition for review and application for stay are denied.

S209619 B246999 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 MGM TRANSFORMER CO. v.

S.C. (VIRGINIA

TRANSFORMER CORP.)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S204674 BEALER (ANTWOINE) ON

H.C.

S205888 RENTERIA (ALBERTO A.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S207422 KIESZ, JR., (VAN DALE) ON

П.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S207450 BUCKLEY (DANIEL PATRICK) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S207455 ANDERSON (ROBERT

DAMIEN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S207456 DUNCAN (DARRYL

WENDELL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S207460 BRAZELL (KARL LYNN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S207466 LOPEZ (LEVY GAMILIEL

ABOITES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S207470 JONES (EDWARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S207476 MORRISON (ANTHONY) ON

H.C.

HUNT (MANUEL LEE MACIAS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S207630

BROWN (DOMINIC DOHNTE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S207870

HERNANDEZ (ANTHONY PEREZ) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S207931

PETERSON (PHILLIP ANTHONY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

S207934

PAYNE (MYRON ATRICE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S207950

PORTEE (DAVID) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S207955

YOUNG (JOHN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S207956

PORTER (ROBERT W.) ON

H.C.

CHISOM (TONY LYNN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S207970

WILSON (GARY ALLEN) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S207972

BLEDSOE, JR., (OLLIE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208003

DACKERMAN (SCOTT

WAYNE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S208006

MEDINA (NORBERTO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208013

COLEMAN (MALCOLM) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S208015

ALMEIDA (CARLOS H.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S208018

MACK (RASHAD DELRICO)

ON H.C.

McLAUGHLIN (RICHARD)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208076

SULLIVAN, SR., (BRIAN

GERARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S208092

SPENCER (RYAN JAMAL)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208121

LOPEZ (GREG GUSTAVO)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S208249

FORTÉ (ROBERT T.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208282

PORTER (BYRON MAURICE)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208290

WEARY III (CURTIS) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208299

GOLSON (JAMAR B.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

WHITE (MICHAEL THOMAS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208317

NEGRETE IV (SALVADOR)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208380

DURAN, JR., (ROY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208383

GALVEZ (JORGE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208386

IBARRA (ALBERTO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S208388

GONZALES (JOSE CRUZ) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208392

CORTES (JOSEPH M.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208394

THOMAS (LAMAR DONNELL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

SMITH, JR., (JOSEPH EARL)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S208397

GARCIA (ARTURO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208400

BROWN (DANNIE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S208417

RYMALOWICZ (PAUL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208425

VAN DEN ANDEL (ERIK

CHRISTIAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208430

ROBINSON (DESHAUWN

ANTHONY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208436

JUDGE (LARRY LOUIS) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S208477

ARISTA (ALFRED) ON H.C.

GOMEZ (HUGO DAVID) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S208490

MOORE (STEVEN COURTNEY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208491

SHAW (JOHN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S208492

SHAW (JOHN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208493

WALKER (ALVIN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208496

NGUYEN (HUAN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S208497

JASTRAUB (MICHAEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208499

BANGS (NATHANIEL) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S208500

ERWIN, JR., (WILLIE GEORGE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

LAVENDER (JOHNNY) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S208505

DAVIS (LEEODIS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S208507

MASON (DeMARCUS) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S208508

BRIDGETTE (GEORGE) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S208509

GRIFFIN II (CHARLES E.)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208514

LANDAVERDE (MOISES) ON

HC

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208515

GARCIA (MARTIN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208526

WRIGHT (MICHAEL WAYNE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S208529

REESE (JAMES) ON H.C.

LY (BRIAN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

S208545

FELIX, JR., (JAMES FOX) ON

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208547

OTERO (CESAR) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

S208549

PHILLIPS (DINO DeSHAWN)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208552

BLAKE (MELVIN JAMES)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208563

GLASS (PATRICK LYNN) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S208567

PEDROZA (JAIRO BRAVO)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S208578

TORRES (PEDRO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

JONES, SR., (FREDERICK) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208590

TERRELL (EDWARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S208592

HACKNEY (WILLIAM) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S208595

ASHANTI (ASKIA SANKOFA) ON H.C.

The request for judicial notice is granted.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S208603

RAMIREZ (MARIO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208609

OLIVAREZ (GABRIEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208615

VITAL (GUSTAVO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

HALES (CHRISTOPHER EUGENE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798.)

S208623 THOMPSON (JAMAL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208624 WILLIAMS (LA'MAR) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S208626 ROJAS (ANTHONY J.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208630 CONTRERAS (RALPH RAUL)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208633 SINGH (LAKHWINDER) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208639 GOWDY (CARL E.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208662 DIAZ (JULIO ALEJANDRO)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208663 DEMARA (ANTHONY) ON

H.C.

JACKSON, JR., (JAMES MORRIS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208673

DIAZ (DANIEL HERNANDEZ) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S208676

HEILMAN (THOMAS JOHN)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208684

DAO (AN VAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208690

CRAWFORD (JEFFREY SCOTT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S208696

HIGHTOWER (CURTIS) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208723

SAUNDERS (THEODORE

JOSEPH) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208726

McQUEEN (LENWOOD) ON

H.C.

S208730 HENDERSON

(CARRINGTON W.) ON H.C

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208732 ALMEIDA (CARLOS H.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208733 DAVIS (TONY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208735 ADAMS (PHILLIP) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208743 CARDONA (MELECIO M.)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S208745 SALAZAR (JAMES C.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208747 GALLOWAY (TIMOTHY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208749 WRIGHT (PATRICIA) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208750 GARCIA (MARK A.) ON H.C.

JACKSON, JR., (JAMES MORRIS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208756

LUGO, SR., (JULIUS RAFAEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S208769

LANNING (MICHAEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208787

VADEN (THEODORE BENJAMIN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208800

HURN (ARCHIE LEE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208812

STEPHENS (KEITH L.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208814

LOPEZ (ADAM LEE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208815

CARROLL (TREMAYNE DEON) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

HESTER (MICHAEL COURTS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S208820

McGAUTHY (BARNARD ALAN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S208823

JACKSON, JR., (JAMES MORRIS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S208825

LOUDER (KWAME DARRYL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208854

DeLaROSA (JERRY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208855

LISTER (JOSEPH EMANUEL)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208858

LAM (MIGUEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208871

CARDONA (MELECIO M.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S208874 DUNCAN (DARRYL WENDELL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208875 SMITH (ROY GERALD) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208879 WILLIAMS (La'MAR) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798.)

S208882 STEPHENS (DAVID JAMES)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S208884 VAUGHT IV (ELBERT LEE)
ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S208885 TAUBMAN (JOSEPH) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S208886 NEWQUIST (DAMON MARSHALL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S208898 GROBMAN (JON TREVOR) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

WRIGHT (PATRICIA) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; In re Waltreus (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S209056

JUDGE (LARRY LOUIS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; People v. Duvall (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; In re Sterling (1965) 63 Cal.2d 486; In re Lessard (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503; In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; In re Swain (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S209065

WRIGHT (PATRICIA) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; In re Miller (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S209457

CRAWFORD (JEFFREY SCOTT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Dexter (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S207949

A134047 First Appellate District, Div. 4

EDGERLY (DEBORAH) v. CITY OF OAKLAND

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing partial depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.

S207969

D061888 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

IN RE D.R.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S208390

A133046 First Appellate District, Div. 5

MORENO (JOSE) v. J. REDFERN, INC.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S208432 G046625 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 WILSON (LYLE) v. COUNTY OF ORANGE

Publication request denied (case closed)

S208437 B236851 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 BAILEY (ADRIAN) & NATION (REBECCA), MARRIAGE OF

Publication request denied (case closed)

S208501 A133589 First Appellate District, Div. 1 OUTLAND (JENNIFER) v. MACY'S DEPARTMENT

STORES, INC.

Publication requests denied (case closed)

S208622 B236373 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 CAROLINA CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY v.

L.M. ROSS LAW GROUP

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S208661 B241851 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 IN RE JOSE M.

Publication request denied (case closed)

S208707 G046081 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (TIEN

DUC)

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S209187 B176170 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ROBINSON

(JOEL)

Publication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing partial publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.

S209230 G046166 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

BELL (SHARON) v. FEIBUSH (IGAL J.)

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S043520

PEOPLE v. POWELL (CARL DEVON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Neoma Kenwood's representation that she anticipates filing the reply brief by September 27, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 3, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 116 additional days will be granted.

S056766

PEOPLE v. LEON (RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Alison Pease's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 28, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 11, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 17 additional days is contemplated.

S075727

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (CEDRIC JEROME)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's reply brief is granted to June 3, 2013. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S083594

PEOPLE v. TRUJEQUE (TOMMY ADRIAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Christina A. Spaulding's representation that she anticipates filing the reply brief by October 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 11, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN PETER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to June 14, 2013. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S093944

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Extension of time granted

Appellant John Anthony Bertsch's request for relief from default is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 24, 2013.

S105876

PEOPLE v. SUAREZ (ARTURO JUAREZ)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lisa R. Short's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by March 1, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 3, 2013. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 270 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S110804

PEOPLE v. ACREMANT (ROBERT JAMES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 14, 2013.

S118775

PEOPLE v. WILSON (JAVANCE MICKEY)

Extension of time denied

The application of appellant for extension of time to file the appellant's opening brief is denied.

PEOPLE v. STESKAL (MAURICE GERALD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gilbert Gaynor's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 17, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 3, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 80 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S126387

PEOPLE v. HAZLETT, JR., (LARRY KUSUTH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Arnold A. Erickson's representation that he anticipates filing the reply brief by September 12, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 14, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S130659

PEOPLE v. ARMSTRONG (CRAIGEN LEWIS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 2, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 4, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S141274

PEOPLE v. ABBOTT (JOE HENRY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gregory L. Rickard's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by October 4, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 10, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. YOUNG (DONALD RAY) & YOUNG (TIMOTHY JAMES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Donald Ray Young and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 14, 2013.

S152737

PEOPLE v. CORDOVA (JOSEPH SEFERINO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by May 31, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 31, 2013. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S155160

PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (IRVING ALEXANDER)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 17, 2013.

S173875

RIGGS (BILLY RAY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Adrianne S. Denault's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 12, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to June 11, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S207313

VERDUGO (MICHAEL) v. TARGET CORPORATION

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to April 18, 2013.

S209035 H037142 Sixth Appellate District

AVIDOR (HAIM) v. SUTTER'S PLACE, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to April 9, 2013.

S096809

PEOPLE v. POYNTON (RICHARD JAMES)

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Respondent's Motion for Access to Sealed Reporter's Transcript, filed January 22, 2013, is granted in part and denied in part as follows. The Clerk is directed to unseal the following sealed record, make it part of the public record of this appeal, and send a copy to respondent: Sealed 14 RT page 2239 (transcript of proceedings, ex parte hearing, Wednesday, February 21, 2001). Respondent should note that 14 RT page 2240 is already part of the public record.

S132449

PEOPLE v. PETERSON (SCOTT LEE)

Record ordered unsealed

The Attorney General's "Application for Order Unsealing Portions of the Record on Appeal," filed on February 13, 2013, is granted. The Clerk is directed to unseal the following portions of the sealed record on appeal in *People v. Scott Peterson*, S132449, and make them part of the public record: 56 RT 10853 and 10953-10976 (June 23, 2004). The Clerk is further directed to send copies of these records to counsel for respondent.

S138147

SMITH (GREGORY SCOTT) ON H.C.

Motion granted

Petitioner's "Motion to Include Claim That Was Properly Preserved in the First Amended Petition and Inadvertently Omitted in the Second Amended Petition," filed December 13, 2012, is granted. Petitioner is directed to serve and file Claim 23, renumbered as Claim 47, in a new Volume III, properly verified, of the Second Amended Petition within 10 days of the date of this order. Respondent may serve and file an informal response to Claim 47 within 30 days of the date of the service and filing of Volume III; any reply thereto must be served and filed within 30 days thereafter.

SNOW (PRENTICE JUAN) ON H.C.

Order filed

The "Application for Leave to File Supplemental Facts and Exhibits in Support of Allegations in the Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," filed on January 30, 2013, is granted. The Respondent may, but need not, file an informal response within 30 days of the date of this order; any reply thereto must be served and filed within 30 days thereafter.

S178542 E047207 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

ZHANG (YANTING) v. S.C. (CALIFORNIA CAPITAL INSURANCE COMPANY)

Request for judicial notice denied

The request for judicial notice, filed on August 13, 2010, is denied.

S194107 G042923 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. COTTONE (LEE VINCENT)

Order filed

Respondent's request for judicial notice of the Assembly Committee on the Judiciary's Comment to Evidence Code section 405 (attached to the request as Exhibit A), is granted. Respondent's request for judicial notice of a partial transcript of proceedings on the proposed Evidence Code (attached to the request as Exhibit B), is denied.

S199074 B230859 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 ROSE (HAROLD) v. BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

Request for judicial notice granted

The requests for judicial notice, filed on July 17, 2012, and August 21, 2012, are granted.

S201116 A131254 First Appellate District, Div. 4

BERKELEY HILLSIDE PRESERVATION v. CITY OF BERKELEY (LOGAN)

Order filed

The application of appellants Berkeley Hillside Preservation, et al., for permission to file an untimely consolidated response to amicus curiae briefs is hereby granted.

CARTER (TRACEY LAVELL) ON H.C.

Reference hearing ordered

THE COURT:

Based on the record in this matter and good cause appearing:

The Honorable David S. Wesley, Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, is directed to select a Judge of that court to sit as a referee in this proceeding and promptly notify this court of the referee selected. After appointment by this court, the referee is to take evidence and make findings of fact on the following questions regarding the case of *People v. Tracey Lavell Carter* (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. A0950222, Judge Jean E. Matusinka):

- 1. What actions did trial counsel take to investigate potential evidence in mitigation during the penalty phase concerning petitioner's alleged brain damage and life and family history? What were the results of that investigation? Was the investigation conducted in a manner to be expected of reasonably competent attorneys acting as diligent advocates? If not, in what respects was it inadequate?
- 2. If the investigation was inadequate, what additional information would an adequate investigation have disclosed? How credible was this evidence? Would a reasonably competent attorney have introduced such evidence at the penalty phase of the trial? What rebuttal evidence reasonably would have been available to the prosecution?
- 3. What actions did trial counsel take in retaining mental health experts, preparing them to testify, and presenting their testimony? Did counsel act in a manner to be expected of reasonably competent attorneys acting as diligent advocates? If not, in what respects were trial counsel's actions inadequate? Had counsel performed adequately, how would the defense penalty phase presentation have differed? What rebuttal evidence reasonably would have been available to the prosecution?

It is further ordered that the referee prepare and submit to this court a report of the proceedings conducted pursuant to this appointment, of the evidence adduced, and the findings of fact made. All requests for discovery in this matter must be addressed to the referee.

S141210

MANRIQUEZ (ABELINO) ON H.C.

Referee appointed

THE COURT:

Based on the record in this matter and good cause appearing:

The Honorable William C. Ryan, Judge of the Superior Court of California for Los Angeles County, is appointed to serve as a referee in this proceeding. He will take evidence and make findings of fact on the following questions regarding the case of *People v. Abelino Manriquez* (Superior Court of California for Los Angeles County No. VA004848, Judge Robert W. Armstrong):

1) What were Juror C.B.'s reasons for failing to disclose her childhood abuse on her juror questionnaire and during voir dire at petitioner's trial?

- 2) Was the nondisclosure intentional and deliberate?
- 3) Considering Juror C.B.'s reasons for failing to disclose these facts, was her nondisclosure indicative of juror bias?
- 4) Was Juror C.B. actually biased against petitioner?

It is further ordered that the referee prepare and submit to this court a report of the proceedings conducted pursuant to this appointment, of the evidence adduced, and of the findings of fact made.

All requests for discovery in this matter must be addressed to the referee.

S209798

OLDFIELD (BRUCE FRANCIS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S208778 ACCUSATION OF SUTTON

Petition denied (accusation)

S208797 ACCUSATION OF WEST, JR.

Petition denied (accusation)

S208935 ACCUSATION OF EDWARDS

Petition denied (accusation)

S209116 ACCUSATION OF

ARIZMENDI

Petition denied (accusation)

APPLING ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WALTER CORTRIGHT APPLING, State Bar Number 53078, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. WALTER CORTRIGHT APPLING must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208077

BROCK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ANTHONY ALLEN BROCK, State Bar Number 149768, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. ANTHONY ALLEN BROCK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208079

CLOUGH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WILLIAM ARTHUR CLOUGH, State Bar Number 114319, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. WILLIAM ARTHUR CLOUGH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

DAVIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DOUGLAS WILLIAM DAVIS, State Bar Number 132620, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. DOUGLAS WILLIAM DAVIS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208082

DUREN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that B. KWAKU DUREN, State Bar Number 147789, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. B. KWAKU DUREN is suspended from the practice of law for the first thirty days of probation;
- 2. B. KWAKU DUREN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 28, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if B. KWAKU DUREN has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.
- B. KWAKU DUREN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. The costs must be paid with his membership fees for the year 2014. If B. KWAKU DUREN fails to pay costs as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the costs are due and payable immediately.

LEE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that EDWARD SUNKU LEE, State Bar Number 213775, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

EDWARD SUNKU LEE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208086

LOWRIE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MARGARET JO LOWRIE, State Bar Number 202253, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. MARGARET JO LOWRIE must make restitution to Ricardo Malang in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 29, 2010. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

MARGARET JO LOWRIE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208089

MILLER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that HARRY TOM MILLER, State Bar Number 104709, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

HARRY TOM MILLER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

PENNACCHIO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that BENJAMIN LOUIS PENNACCHIO, State Bar Number 252242, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

BENJAMIN LOUIS PENNACCHIO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208093

RIVA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that KEVIN ROBERT RIVA, State Bar Number 186921, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. KEVIN ROBERT RIVA must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 26, 2012; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if KEVIN ROBERT RIVA has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

KEVIN ROBERT RIVA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2014 and 2015. If KEVIN ROBERT RIVA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

SHULMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that VINCENT VADIM SHULMAN, State Bar Number 207105, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. VINCENT VADIM SHULMAN must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on November 26, 2012; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if VINCENT VADIM SHULMAN has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

VINCENT VADIM SHULMAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208098

TREJO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CHARLES DAVID TREJO, State Bar Number 187529, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. CHARLES DAVID TREJO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208273

McGRATH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JON JAMES McGRATH, State Bar Number 237893, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JON JAMES McGRATH is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. JON JAMES McGRATH must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 10, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JON JAMES McGRATH has complied with

all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JON JAMES McGRATH must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208279

MOTAMEDI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ASHKAN ALEX MOTAMEDI, State Bar Number 228384, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ASHKAN ALEX MOTAMEDI must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on December 6, 2012; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ASHKAN ALEX MOTAMEDI has complied with the terms of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208283

OLIVE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that GARY D. OLIVE, State Bar Number 176748, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

GARY D. OLIVE must make restitution to the following payees:

- 1. Juan Carlos Ramirez Munoz and Maria Beatriz Adriana Francia Alvarez as follows:
 - (i) \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 29, 2006;
 - (ii) \$300 plus 10 percent interest per year from February 22, 2007; and
 - (iii) \$350 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 30, 2008; and
- 2. Gonzalo Lemus in the amount of \$1,450 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 27, 2010. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

GARY D. OLIVE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208286

ROWZEE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JEANNE MARIE ROWZEE, State Bar Number 141784, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JEANNE MARIE ROWZEE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208295

SLAYTON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DON LEE SLAYTON, State Bar Number 75052, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DON LEE SLAYTON is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 30 days of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Anthony Magnanimo in the amount of \$5,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 16, 2010 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Anthony Magnanimo, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. DON LEE SLAYTON must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 21, 2012.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DON LEE SLAYTON has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DON LEE SLAYTON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's

Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

If DON LEE SLAYTON remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2014 and 2015. If DON LEE SLAYTON fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S208298

STEVENSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JERRY ALONZO STEVENSON, State Bar Number 262798, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JERRY ALONZO STEVENSON must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Erika Pizano in the amount of \$1,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 8, 2011;
- (2) Mai Steivers in the amount of \$2,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 22, 2011;
- Octavio Jimenez in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 10, 2011;
- (4) Monica Johnson in the amount of \$3,368 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 25, 2011;
- (5) Oralia Barragan in the amount of \$2,250 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 27, 2011;
- (6) Maria Alvarez in the amount of \$2,250 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 1, 2011;
- (7) Lydia Guitierrez in the amount of \$2,250 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 22, 2011;
- (8) Susan Shapiro in the amount of \$3,760 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 30, 2011:
- (9) Timothy Lowrie in the amount of \$1,795 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 22, 2011:
- (10) Victor Mendez in the amount of \$2,200 plus 10 percent interest per year from November 29, 2010; and
- (11) Ana Provenzano in the amount of \$1,980 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 31, 2011

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JERRY ALONZO STEVENSON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days,

respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208301

TRIMINIO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ADRIAN HENRY TRIMINIO, State Bar Number 192894, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ADRIAN HENRY TRIMINIO is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. ADRIAN HENRY TRIMINIO must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 21, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ADRIAN HENRY TRIMINIO has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ADRIAN HENRY TRIMINIO must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

ADRIAN HENRY TRIMINIO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2014, 2015, and 2016. If ADRIAN HENRY TRIMINIO fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

BRYSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that TIMOTHY CLARENCE BRYSON, State Bar Number 140798, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. TIMOTHY CLARENCE BRYSON must make restitution to the following payees:

- (1) Mohamud Magtayn in the amount of \$9,320.01 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 29, 2010;
- (2) Luis Torres in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from August 11, 2010; and
- (3) Pauline White in the amount of \$2,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 22, 2008.

Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

TIMOTHY CLARENCE BRYSON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208308

EBNER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN MAURICE EBNER, State Bar Number 100618, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JOHN MAURICE EBNER must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 3, 2012; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOHN MAURICE EBNER has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOHN MAURICE EBNER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2014 and 2015. If JOHN MAURICE EBNER fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

GONZALEZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JUAN JOSÉ GONZALEZ, State Bar Number 243647, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JUAN JOSÉ GONZALEZ is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. JUAN JOSÉ GONZALEZ must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 4, 2012.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JUAN JOSÉ GONZALEZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JUAN JOSÉ GONZALEZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JUAN JOSÉ GONZALEZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2014, 2015, and 2016. If JUAN JOSÉ GONZALEZ fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S208319

HAMILTON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WILLIAM JOSEPH HAMILTON, State Bar Number 92688, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. WILLIAM JOSEPH HAMILTON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208321

HOPTOWIT ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DENNIS REID HOPTOWIT, State Bar Number 61544, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. DENNIS REID HOPTOWIT must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S208324

INGLIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that FRANK BENJAMIN INGLIS, State Bar Number 66282, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. FRANK BENJAMIN INGLIS must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 18, 2012; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if FRANK BENJAMIN INGLIS has complied with the terms of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

FRANK BENJAMIN INGLIS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

ISAACSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that LON BARRY ISAACSON, State Bar Number 64838, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

LON BARRY ISAACSON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S207742

PASQUINI ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with disciplinary charges pending of RAYMOND BRUNO PASQUINI, State Bar Number 189606, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If RAYMOND BRUNO PASQUINI subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

RAYMOND BRUNO PASQUINI must comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,076)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)